

Graph G, undirected // Digraph D

 $\{G, D\} = (V, E) // V, E$ finite // $e \in E$ as $ij : i, j \in V$ \Leftarrow ordered pair for *D* not for *G*

Empty $E = \emptyset$ (all $v \in V$ are **isolated**); **Complete** K_n

Sub(di)graph $V' \subseteq V, E' \subseteq E //$ **Spanning** subgraph V' = V

Edges: have endvertices // may have weights technically a function

Adjacent vertices are (in-/out-)neighbours via their incident edges

Connected graph // Connected **component** $\implies k_G \#$ conn. cpts **Adjacency** matrix (symmetric for graph, not for digraph) or **incidence** list (pair of incidence lists for digraphs) or

S – *T* matrix for bipartite graph

Tree \iff connected, has no circuits; **Forest** of trees; **Leaves** have degree 1

Tree in *digraph* may be rooted at $(\mathbf{r}) \implies$ everything except *r* has in-degree 1

Cuts: $\delta(U, W)$ is edges with one end in U and the other in W. In G, $\delta(U, W) = \delta(W, U)$ but not in D.

IF $V = U \cup W$: we have a **cut**: write $\delta^+(U)$, $\delta^-(U) = \delta(U, W)$, $\delta(W, U)$ [*digraphs*] NB: U, W non-empty, disjoint in a digraph:

 $U \subset V$ separates v from w: $v \in U, w \notin U$

(s, t)-cut is a cut $\delta^+(U)$ where U separates s from t

in a graph:

 $U \subset V$ separates *v* from *w*: *v* XOR $w \in U$

(s, t)-cut is $\delta(S)$ for some subset S of the vertices separating s and t. Capacity of an (s, t)-cut is sum of caps on edges in the cut

Digraphs only: Source, sink

Flows Network = D = (V, E) with specified s, t, with capacities $c_{ij} \ge 0$. All vertices other than s, t are intermediate. Flow $f: f(e) = f_{ij}$ s.t.

$$\sum_{i:ij\in E} f_{ij} = \sum_{k:jk\in E} f_{jk}$$

at intermediate vertices = conservation equations.

In *undirected* graph: edge directions: $G \rightarrow D$; + flow (in *D*)

Find that flow out of s = flow into t = **volume** v(f) of the flow.

Feasible flow $0 \le f_{ij} \le c_{ij}$ // Feasible & max vol: **maximum** flow

Minimum cut = minimum capacity cut

 $\lambda(G; s, t)$ capacity of a minimum (s, t)-cut [remember the cut itself is an edge set, $=\delta(A)$ for some vertices A]

Residual network (NB: not a network) R(D, f) has the same vertices as D and edge ij if net flow can be increased

f-alterable path in residual network has forwards and backwards edges.

f-alterable path to *t*: *f*-augmenting path

(s, t)-Edge-connectivity: $(D \text{ or } G) \min \#$ edges that can be deleted to leave no (s, t)-path.

(s, t)-Vertex-connectivity: $(D \text{ or } G) \min \#$ vertices [NOT s, t] that can be deleted to leave no (s, t)-path.

Global edge conn.: (G): min # edges that can be deleted from G so G becomes disconnected

Current: assignment of a flow f_{ij} to edges s.t. there is a net flow of d_v for each vertex (*demands* on vertices) [c.f.conservation eqns $d_v = 0$ all v]

Circulation: in *D* a digraph w/o source/sink: flow *f* with $l(e) \le f(e) \le u(e)$, satisfying conservation equations **Circular** if there is a directed circuit *C* of *D* with $f = \epsilon > 0$ round *C* and 0 otherwise.

Closed set in digraph = $F \subseteq V$ s.t. $i \in F, ij \in E \implies j \in F$. [it's a sort of *backwards* dependency: "finish what you'e started"]

Now add unit costs to edges:

min. cost flow problem: feasible flow with given vol v at min cost

Vertex **identification**: glue them together into w, add edges wj for every merged vj (can then merge parallel edges)

 $G_S = G$ with $v \in S$ identified

Legal ordering: start v_1 anywhere and then v_i has largest total capacity joining it with v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1} .

Gomory–Hu tree: weighted tree T = (V, F) s.t. for any edge $e = st \in F$, take $T \setminus \{e\} = 2$ conn. cpts $U, V, \delta(U) = \delta(V)$ is a min (s, t)-cut.

for $R \subseteq V$, **Gomory–Hu tree for** G, R is T, and a partition of V into **parties**

with leaders; R is the set of leaders

Subsets $A, B \subseteq V$ cross if $A \cap B, A - B, B - A, V - (A \cup B)$ are all non-empty

Matching *M* in *G*: edge subset; no two incident with same vertex;

vertices are **covered** by *M* or **exposed**.

Not to be confused with: a (vertex) cover: a subset of vertices s.t. you can get all edges. [not required: all vertices!].

Min. cover has fewest poss. vertices. think <u>cut-and-cover</u>

M may be **maximum**; a maximum matching may be **perfect**.

 \iff flows: *M*-alternating; *M*-augmenting;

General G (not bipartite): $k_o(G)$ (o for odd) is the number of conn. cpts with odd number of vertices.

blossom for matching M = circuit with odd number 2k + 1 of vertices and k edges of M. Has a **base**. identifying blossom to its base is **shrinking** it.

NB: Maximum (biggest) vs Maximal (can't add anything)

NB: Maximal might not be maximum: below left is maximal (can't add) but not maximum (there's an aug. path)

 $\circ - \circ \sim \circ - \circ$ vs $\circ \sim \circ - \circ \sim \circ$

Big-O Exists c > 0, A s.t.: $\forall x > A, |f(x)| \le cg(x) \implies O(g)$

Matroids & friends

Hereditary system: $I \in \mathcal{I}, J \subseteq I \implies J \in \mathcal{I}$ always contains empty set

e.g.: edge sets of spanning forests

e.g.: lin. independent columns of a matrix

Matroid: Hereditary system AND: for every $I, J \in I$ s.t. |I| < |J|, can find an element in J to add to I and get a new ind. set

Transversal matroid: (S, \mathcal{I}) (for G = (S, T, E)): $I \subseteq S$ is independent \iff there exists M in G s.t. $I \subset S(M)$ Has **rank** r(M) = size of biggest ind. set. (Transversal) matroid of the (bipartite) graph G: mat(G) We write S(M) for the vertices of S covered by the matching M.



1. Bubblesort

In: List of *n* integers

for i = n - 1 : -1 : 1:

bubble from 1 : i (= i comparisons)

Out: Sorted list

Time: $(n-1)\sum_{i} i = O(n^2)$ Correctness: by induction

2. Kruskal: min. cost spanning tree

In: G: connected, weighted

 $T \leftarrow \emptyset;$ while $E \neq \emptyset$: delete cheapest edge *e* from *E*; if $T \cup \{e\}$ has no circuits: $T \leftarrow T \cup \{e\}$

Out: $T \subseteq E$

Time: naively, O(mn) (using heapsort; BFS for conn. cpt check); better: cpt labelling $\implies O(m \log n)$

3. Prim:min. cost spanning tree

```
In: G: connected, weighted; v \in V
```

 $T \leftarrow \emptyset;$

while (V, T) not connected:

find cheapest edge *e* to link to $T: T \leftarrow T \cup \{e\}$

Out: $T \subseteq E$

Time: ?

4. GMST (red-blue) algo

In: G: weighted, connected; all weights distinct (perturb if nec.

Red rule (Circuits): find circuit with no red edges; colour max. cost edge red

Blue rule (Cuts): find cut with no blue edges; colour min. cost edge blue

Out: Blue edges: $T \subseteq E$

Time: -

All edges get coloured!

5. General greedy algo

In: Hereditary system $M = (E, \mathcal{I}) + non-neg$. weights

$I \leftarrow \emptyset$							
while $E \neq \emptyset$:							
delete costliest edge from E ;							
If $I \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{I} \colon \mathcal{I} \leftarrow I \cup \{e\}$							
Out : max weight $I \in \mathcal{I}$							
Time: ?							
vs Kruskal (See Ex 2.14 for how to \leftrightarrow):							
max. not min — must be non-neg — Forest not Tree							
Works iff: <i>M</i> is matroid							
6. BFS: shortest paths							
In: Unweighted D, r=start							
Init: $P(i) = -1$ (0 for <i>r</i>)							
Loop on queue Q:							
Pull off head v of queue; for all out-neighbours of v , ?update P & add to queue							
Out : Tree of paths to <i>all other</i> vertices as vector <i>P</i> of parents							
Time : init <i>n</i> + all out-neighbours $m = O(n + m)$							
Can easily mod for G; can use to determine connectedness							

BFS to find circuits in *G***:**

- G connected: count the edges! No BFS needed 🙂
- BUT to find the circuits: was e inspected but $e \notin output T$?
Then $e \in C$: trace up tree for common ancestor.
- G not connected: run BFS from some v; collect up circuits;
throw away all $v \in$ tree found; rinse&repeat
- <u>Shortest</u> circuit? Try for all edges ij in turn: delete $e = ij$,
find shortest <i>ij</i> path; compare. (NB this works <i>because G</i> is undirected)
- Shortest odd-length circuit: harder than you think!
Consider <i>special walk</i> = length $2k + 1$.

7. Ex 3.3: TSP

In: weighted D, alg A to find shortest paths

Init: replace all weights with -1;

Run A (we don't know what A is: -ve circuits could exist)

Out: *i*, *j*-path passing through all $v \in V$

Time: dep. A (Basically intractable)

8. Ford-Bellman: shortest path tree

In: weighted D with no -ve circuits, $r \in V$

Init: u_r , P(r) = 0; all other $u_i = \infty$; all other P(i) = -1; for 1 : n - 1: check **every** edge: ij: $u_i + c_{ij} \stackrel{?}{<} u_j$: \rightarrow update u_j , P(j)NB: **Ex 3.8** Can stop if no changes or (sneaky) no changes except to sinks!

Out: Tree as vector P, lengths vector u_i

Time: O(nm)

Order of edges makes difference! But don't know "right" order until afterwards ;-)

Can alternatively be used to detect -ve circuits: run loop n times

9. Dijkstra

In: D with no -ve lengths; r

Init: u_r , P(r) = 0; Temps $T: V - \{r\}$ u_i cost on edge from r, or ∞ ; P(i) = r (note difference from FB init!) for 1:n-2: find min T, index is j: finalise j by: Delete from T; Check all remaining $T: u_j + c_{jk} \stackrel{?}{<} u_k$: \rightarrow update u_k , P(k)

Out: u and *P* as F-B **Time:** $O(n^2)$

Ex 3.10 Shortest path S to T



Find (s, t)-path in G'.

10. Ex 3.11 Ordered vertex labelling

In: *D* (no further constraints)

Init: identify sources by counting in-neighbours: list L

Loop: label a source $v \in L$, ditch it $\rightarrow D'$; add any new sources in D'.

Terminate: either out of vertices \checkmark or no new sources

Out: Vertex labelling

Time: $O(n^2)$ naively $\implies O(n+m)$

Smart runtime: use incidence lists, not adj. matrix \rightarrow go through lists of in &out-neighbours of v

 \leftrightarrow then this stage becomes 2m total (not each step)

11. **Ex 3.12 1 to everywhere** $O(n^2)$

In: $D, r \in V$

Init: $D \rightarrow D' = (V', E)$ s.t. $ij \in E \implies i < j$; take r = 1Thm: $u_{ij} = min_{k:i < k < j} \{u_{ij} + c_{kj}\}$ for j = 1: n: set $u_{1j} = min_{1:i < k < j} \{u_{1j} + c_{kj}\}$

Out: vector u of shortest paths

Time: $O(n^2)$ naively $\implies O(n+m)$

Smarter: use incidence lists of out-neighbours of j, instead of trying all values of k

 \checkmark as above this gives total O(m) comparisons so we have O(n+m) init, O(n+m) run $\implies O(n+m)$

• Ex 3.13 longest paths Replace max by min and set cost to $-\infty$ if no edge

12. Ex 3.14 Critical path analysis

In: Project dependency D (NB unweighted) + weight vector (times) on V

Init: push weights from V to edges, eg with node-splitting trick

Find longest path: alg in 3.13

Its length is sum of weights of its vertices (found automatically with node-splitting version)

Out: Shortest possible project time (+ paths tree)

Time: O(n + m)

13. Ex 3.15 Minimise the max. length of an edge

In: D (no constraints); $r, s \in V$

Init: collect up edge lengths

Binary search: create subgraph D' by ditching all edges over length l; setting weights of the survivors =1; see

if there's a path - BFS;

Terminate when there is a path with edges length l, but not l - 1

Out: (*r*, *s*)-path minimising max. length of edge

Time: $O((\log n)(n+m))$

Could try and find shortest path in winning D', but only if we know no -ve length circuits...

15. Augmenting paths algorithm (Ford/Fulkerson)

In: D = a network; f a flow(=0)



Out: max flow matrix, $C \subseteq V \rightarrow \text{cut}$ **Time**: $O(nm^2)$ (Using BFS)

Converting to flow problems:

- Edge connectivity: give all edges cap 1
- Vertex conn.: split vertex trick, cap 1 between split
- Closure (project planning): add source+sink, give s → +ve v cap r, t → -ve v cap -r (i.e. +ve value)
 ∑+ve v(flow) = ∑ v ∈ closure = in cut ≠ s
- **Current**: source → -ve, sink ← +ve (*opp. from closure!*)
- Sports teams: $s \xrightarrow{\text{wins needed}} \{\text{Teams}\} \times \{\text{Matches}\} \xrightarrow{\#\text{matches}} t$
- **Digraph building**: $s \xrightarrow{\text{out-degree}} \{V\} \xrightarrow{\max ij} \{V\} \xrightarrow{\text{in-degree}} t$ Bipartite: put *S* and *T* rather than *V* both sides

don't forget backward edges on ∞ middles!

• Matching: $s \xrightarrow{1} (S) \stackrel{\infty}{\times} (T) \xrightarrow{1} t$

16. Legal ordering

In: G

Init: pick $v_1 \in V$ (arbitrary);

init table with other vertices

n-2 times: last one is evident

pick vertex v with max cap (current table row):

add to list;

create new table row: blank out v; for remaining vertices in table, entry $v_i + = cap(vv_i)$

e

d

5

b

5

с

2

3

а

13



Out: Vertex list v_1, \ldots, v_n **Time**: $O(n^2)$

17. Global minimum cut

In: G, with caps ≥ 0

Init: $M = \infty, A = \emptyset$ Loop: Find legal ordering; test $c(\delta(\{v_n\})) \stackrel{?}{<} M$: \rightarrow update M, new $A = \delta(\{v_n\})$; Identify $v_n, v_{n-1} \rightarrow G'$; loop

Out: $A \subseteq E$, a min cut **Time**: $O(n^3)$

18. Gomory-Hu

In: *G*



Out: *T*, a G–H tree **Time**: (n - 1) min. cuts (e.g. via aug paths) (technically, $(n - 1) * \max$. flow = $O(n^2m^2)$

19. Hungarian matching alg

In: G = (S, T, E) bipartite, $M (= \emptyset)$



Out: M, K

Time: $O(n^3)$

20. Assignment problem: min. cost perfect matching

In: G = (S, T, E); $|S| = |T| E = \{s_i t_j : s_i \in S, t_j \in T\}$ ("complete")

Init: Set up **u**, **v**: A good init: u_i as min. entry in *i*th row, v_i as min of $c_{ij} - u_i$ in each col. LOOP:

- Calculate reduced cost matrix $\bar{c}_{ij} = c_{ij} u_i v_j$ use as basis of bipartite graph G_E ;
- Seek perfect matching in G_E . FOUND \implies DONE; else
- update u, v: C is vertices coloured during matching step:

$\epsilon = \min\{\bar{c}_{ij} : S_i \in S, T_j \in T - C\}:$ increase u_i at blue vertices by ϵ ;				v_2	V <i>U</i> ₂	v_{A}		V ₆
decrease v_j at red vertices by ϵ :			(!)	1		 ↑	(!)	 ↑
NB: when updating \bar{c}_{ij} on next loop:				' ↑	' ↑	' ↑	(<u>)</u>	' ↑
<i>i</i> , <i>j</i> used to calc. ϵ : 1 $\iff = \bar{c}_{ij} - \epsilon$	ш	Ua	€ ↓				\leftrightarrow	
<i>i</i> XOR <i>j</i> used to calc. ϵ : c_{ij}		u_{Λ}	←		$(-\epsilon)$		\leftrightarrow	$(-\epsilon)$
neither <i>i</i> nor <i>j</i> used to calc. ϵ : $c_{ij} + \epsilon$		ч И5	←		\bigcirc		\leftrightarrow	\bigcirc
$\rightarrow loop$		5						

Out: *M* a matching

Time: $O(n^4)$

Also known as Hungarian alg for assignment problem

Check: $\sum_{i} u_i + \sum_{j} v_j = \text{cost}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \text{ not updated after perfect matching found!})$

21. Edmonds' blossom algorithm

In: G



Out: $M, S \subseteq V$ **Time**: $O(n^4)$ **Shrink**: $G \rightarrow G'$ and this $T \rightarrow T'$ **Unshrink**: round even # edges

22. Build-up algorithm

In: D a network (integral caps), int v target flow

Init f = 0 // empty flow matrix;

Build-up:

- construct R(D, f) (with costs);
- find shortest path *P* (FB as -ve edges);

- augment along *P*;

$$\text{if } f = v \text{ DONE}; \\ else: \bigcirc loop$$

Out: Flow matrix

Time: O(fnm) (Paths with FB)

Blank slate: build up to min. cost feasible v

Check: cost of flow*edges = sum of aug*path-cost

23. Circuit-cancelling algo

In: D a network (integral caps), f a flow mat @v

Loop:

- construct R(D, f) (with costs);
- find -ve circuit *C* (FB with *n* iters):

if none: DONE;

 \checkmark augment round *C*; *loop*

Out: flow mat f

Time: ?

Lemma 1.2.2. Can break every walk down into paths and circuits

	G has $n - 1$ edges	n - m edges				
Lemma 1.3.1 // 1.3.6. 3-for-2	G is connected	has $k(G) = m$ conn. cpts				
	G has no circuits (is a tree)	is a forest				

Lemma 1.3.4. *T* a spanning tree of *G*, add one "extra" edge *e* from $G \implies T \cup \{e\}$ contains unique circuit *C*; can remove *any* edge of *C* & get spanning tree.

Prop 1.4.1. i) f polynomial degree k, f is $O(x^i) \iff i \ge k$ ii) x^k is $O(e^x)$ ($k \in \mathbb{R}$) iii) $\ln x$ is $O(x^{\epsilon} (\epsilon > 0)$

Prop 1.4.2. $f_1:O(g_1), f_2: O(g_2) \implies$ i) $f_1 + f_2$ is $O(\max\{g_1, g_2\})$; ii) f_1f_2 is $O(g_1g_2)$

Ex 1.9. $n \leq \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$

Ex 2.3. If weights are distinct, tree found by Kruskal is unique

Lemma 2.3.2. *C* edges of a circuit, C^* cut of a graph, $|C \cap C^*|$ is even

Thm 2.3.3. G connected: GMST colours all the edges and the blue edges form a min. cost spanning tree of G.

Ex 2.7. Kruskal & Prim are both special cases of GMST

Lemma 3.2.1. *D* has no -ve length circuit: if you can get $i \rightarrow j$, there's a shortest path. [just remove circuits] *of interest because algs find walks...*

Lemma 3.2.2. *D* has no -ve length circuits and a walk from *r* to everywhere in V: there is a collection of shortest paths from *r* to every other vertex whose union forms a tree rooted at *r*.

Ex 3.11. No directed circuits \implies must be at least 1 sink / at least 1 source

Ex 3.11. Possible to input *D* and either relabel vertices s.t. $ij \in E \implies i < j$, or deduce that *D* has a (directed) circuit: runtime O(n + m) [easier; $O(n^2)$]

Thm 3.6.1. *D*: no -ve weight circuits: FRW alg finds all shortest paths; runtime $O(n^3)$.

Prop 2.5.2. Graph G: hereditary system (E, \mathcal{F}) of spanning forests is a matroid

Prop 2.5.3. *M* a matrix over field *F*: (labels of) lin. ind. sets of columns \implies matroid. Remember in binary field 2 cols are lin. ind. *unless* equal

Lemma 2.6.1. Hered. system (E, I) is a matroid \iff for every subset A of E, all maximal independent subsets of A have the same size.

Thm 2.6.2. Hered. system M = (E, I) is a matroid \iff for every non-negative weight function on *E*, the greedy alg determines the maximum weight ind. set.

Ex Assmt 1. Useful fact: $M = M(A) \implies$ there exists A' over F with M = M(A') and A' has as many rows as the largest independent set in M [proof not given//in Assmt solns]

Thm 9.5.2. G = (S, T, V) (back to *bipartite* graphs now): $\mathcal{I} = \{S(M) : M \text{ is a matching}\} \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid ("*transversal matroid*").

Prop 9.5.3. If *M* is a transversal matroid then there is a bipartite graph *G* such that M = mat(G) with |T| = r(M)

Thm 4.3.3. Max-flow min-cut .

Thm 5.1.1. Integral capacities \implies aug. paths takes $\le v(f^*)$ iterations; f^* is integral

Cor. 5.1.2. Integrality theorem If all caps are integral, there is a max. flow in which all flow values are integral.

Lemma 5.1.4. Let d(v, w) be the shortest path length in the residual digraph; let f, f' be a feasible flow and its augmentation: For every $v \in V$: $d(s, v) \le d'(s, v)$ and $d(v, t) \le d'(v, t)$

Lemma 5.1.5. Additionally, let A(f) be the union of edges in R(D, f) in all augmenting paths length d: if d(s,t) = d'(s,t), then $A(f') \subset A(f)$

Thm 5.1.6. The augmenting path alg using BFS has runtime $O(nm^2)$

Ex 5.1. U_1, U_2 both separate *s* from *t*: $\operatorname{cap}(\delta^+(U_1 \cap U_2) + \operatorname{cap}(\delta^+(U_1 \cup U_2)) \le \operatorname{cap}(\delta^+(U_1)) + \operatorname{cap}(\delta^+(U_2))$ Proof: think about drawing a picture

Lemma 7.4.3. $A, B \subseteq V(G)$: $c(\delta(A)) + c(\delta(B)) \ge c(\delta(A \cup B)) + c(\delta(A \cap B))$



Lemma 5.2.1. If every (s, t)-cut in D has infinite cap, there is an (s, t)-path containing only infinite cap edges.

Thm 5.2.2. Let *D* have an (s, t)-cut with finite cap: then (i) there is a max. flow (=min cap of a cut); (ii) if all finite caps are integral, there is an integral max. flow; (iii) need time $O(nm^2)$ to find it **Menger's theorems**

Thm 5.3.1. (D) (s, t) edge connectivity = max # (s, t) paths with pairwise disjoint sets of edges NB: thm, not the def!

Thm 7.2.1. Same for (*G*)

Thm 5.3.3. (D) (s, t) vertex conn. = max. # internally disjoint (s, t)-paths.

Thm 7.2.3. Same for (*G*)

Page U7/5. Global edge conn. = cap of a *global* min. cut in G with all caps set to 1.

Thm 5.4.2. <u>Gale's thm</u> Current $\iff \sum_{v} d_{v} = 0$ and for every $S \subseteq V$, $\sum_{v \notin S} d_{v} \le \operatorname{cap}(\delta^{+}(S))$ (prove by $D \cup s, t \to D'$; cap of (s, t)-cut in D')

Thm 5.4.3. Hoffman circulation thm Given *D* and lower/upper bounds on each edge, there is a circulation $f \iff$ for every $S \subseteq V$, $l(\delta^{-}(S) \le u(\delta^{+}(S))$.

Page U6/5. Team *t* can win the league \iff the corresponding network has a feasible flow with volume $\sum_{ij} r_{ij}$ (r_{ij} are the remaining matches to play)

Page U7/2. $\delta(S)$ in G is an (s, t)-cut \iff one of $\delta^+(S)$, $\delta^-(S)$ is same in $D \implies$ capacity of cut in G = cap of cut in $D \implies$ max-flow min-cut holds.

Page U7/5. Possible to find a min. cut by solving n - 1 max flow problems (pick some *s*, find the min (*s*, *t*)-cut for all choices of *t*, Bob's your uncle ...)

Page U7/5. 1:1 correspondence between cuts of G that are not (s, t)-cuts and cuts of $G_{s,t}$; preserves capacity

Lemma 7.3.5. $\lambda(G; u, v) \ge \min\{\lambda(G; u, w), \lambda(G; v, w)\}$

Thm 7.3.6. v_1, \ldots, v_n legal ordering $(n! = 1) \implies \delta(\{v_n\})$ is a min. (v_n, v_{n-1}) -cut in G.

Lemma 7.4.1. let $v_0, ..., v_k$ be vertices of $G: \lambda(v_0, v_k) \ge \min\{\lambda(v_0, v_1), \lambda(v_1, v_2), ..., \lambda(v_{k-1}, v_k)\}$



Thm 7.4.2. T a G–H tree:

min edge on (u - v) path is cap of min (u, v)-cut; vertices of the cut are everything on side of the edge

Lemma 7.4.4. $\delta(S)$ a minimum (s, t)-cut; $v, w \in S$; There is a minimum (v, w)-cut of the form $\delta(W)$ for $W \subseteq S$

Ex 7.12. $s, t, v, w \in V(G)$; $s \neq t$; $v \neq w$; $\delta(S) \min(s, t)$ -cut: there is a min (v, w)-cut $\delta(T)$ for some T s.t. S and T do not cross.

Thm 7.4.5. G = (V, E): for each $\emptyset \neq R \subseteq V$, there is a G–H tree for G, R

Thm 7.4.6. A G–H tree for G can be found by computing n - 1 min. cuts.

Page U8/1. G is bipartite \iff no circuits with odd length.

Lemma 8.1.2. *M* a matching, *P M*-augmenting: $M' = M \triangle P$ is a matching with |M'| = |M| + 1.

Thm 8.1.3. Berge 1957 *M* is maximum \iff no *M*-augmenting path

Lemma 8.1.4. *M* matching, *K* cover: $|M| \leq |K|$.

Ex 8.2. $S \subseteq V$ covered by some *M*: must exist maximum matching covering *S*.

Thm 8.2.3. König Max matching = min cover

Thm 8.2.4. Equivalently: binary matrix $A \operatorname{rep} S \to T$: maximum sized set of ones from A with no two ones in same row or col is equal to min sized set of rows and cols containing every one of A.

Ex 8.6. Can solve matching problem using flows

Ex 8.7. In fact, Hungarian alg & augmenting flow alg do same thing (up to BFS)

Ex 8.8. König is cor. of max-flow min-cut

Thm 8.2.5. Hall perfect *M* in bipartite graph exists \iff for every $X \subseteq S$, $|N(X)| \ge |X|$.

Cor. 8.2.6. $r \ge 0$; *G* has matching $|M| \ge |S| - r \iff$ for every $X \subseteq S$, $|N(X)| \ge |X| - r$. Proof: stick *r* extra vertices in *T*

Ex 8.11. *G* bipartite; every vertex has degree *k*: *G* has *k* disjoint perfect matchings

Ex 8.14. G bipartite has k disjoint perfect matchings \iff for every $A \subseteq S, B \subseteq T$, there are at least k(|A| + |B| - |S|) edges straddling A, B;

Lemma 9.1.1. Given $M, G, S \subseteq V$:

$$|M| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|V| + |S| - k_o(G \setminus S))$$

Lemma 9.1.3. S a blossom in G w.r.t. M; M_S -augmenting path P in M_S : P can be extended to an M-augmenting path of G by expanding the blossom.

Thm 9.4.1. Tutte, Berge For any G,

$$\max_{M} |M| = \min_{S \subseteq V} \frac{1}{2}(|V| + |S| - k_o(G \setminus S))$$

Ex 9.2. <u>Tutte's theorem</u> Necessary and sufficient condition for graph to have a perfect matching: for all $S \subseteq V$, $k_o(G \setminus S) \leq |S|$ AND even # of vertices (otherwise $k_o = 1$ for $S = \emptyset \implies$ we have already lost) (=**Thm 9.6.1**)

Ex 9.5. Petersen If every $v \in V$ has 3 neighbours and for every $e \in E$, $G \setminus e$ is connected: G has a perfect matching.

Ex 10.1. Shortest path problem is a special case of the min. cost flow problem

Lemma 10.1.2. f a circulation in $D = f_1 + ... + f_k$ with the f_i circular circulations

Thm 10.1.3. *f* feasible, vol. *v* has min. cost \iff no -ve cost (directed) circuit in R(D, f)

Lemma 10.3.1. *n* iterations of F-B on *D*: *D* has -ve length circuit \iff some u_i changes in the *n*th iteration, which can be found by climbing tree from *i*.

Thm 2.1.2. *G* connected; Kruskal finds min weight spanning tree.

Thm 2.2.1. Kruskal (can be) $O(m \log n)$ (*G* connected)

Thm 3.3.2. *D* with no -ve length circuits: F-B outputs length of shortest (r, v)-path for every v that has a path, plus a rooted tree of the paths; runtime O(nm).

Thm 3.5.1. *D* with no -ve lengths: Dijkstra finds shortest paths from *r* to all other vertices; runtime $O(n^2)$.

Prop 7.3.4. A legal ordering of a graph *G* can be found in time $O(n^2)$

Thm 8.2.1. The Hungarian alg returns M, K with |M| = |K|; runtime $O(n^3)$ Prove: (1) M; (2) K; (3) |M| = |K|; (4) runtime

Thm 8.3.2. Hungarian algorithm for assignment problem (weighted edges) (1) determines an optimal solution (2) in time $O(n^4)$

Thm 9.3.1. Edmonds alg finds a max matching and *S* s.t. (Lemma 9.1.1) ==. Runtime $O(n^4)$ Proof: via 2 claims: (1) for each blue vertex *v* in a tree with root *r* there is an alternating path from *r* to *v*, first edge not in matching; (2) for each matching edge, either both endvertices are uncoloured or one is blue and one red; Mainly need to show that this preserved by blossom step

Thm 10.2.1. The build-up algo returns optimal flow and it's integral

Thm 10.3.3. Circuit-cancelling algo returns optimal flow of vol v and it's integral

Tips and tricks

- double up vertices and put capacity between them
- add source & sink and caps to them
 [also for finding paths to/from groups of vertices]
- turn into flow network
- finding paths by assigning edge weight 1
- finding most-edges paths by assigning edge weight -1 (FB)
- $O(n^2)$ vs O(nm): is the graph sparse or close to complete?
- run aug path (flow/matching) alg once/to the end to get the list of interesting vertices // confirm result
- sportsteams draws \rightarrow just pretend it's two matches
- MSc student problem with 2 types requirement = 2 types $s \rightarrow St \implies$ double up the student vertices
- Augmenting paths in *G* graphs: take care! Net poss change takes both directions into account (can change direction of arrow); flow of 0 can *always* add the edge (ditto).
- "Modify" \implies modify graph then run vanilla alg, *don't mess with alg*!
- NB for thms: often need to specify:
 - non-empty
 - non-negative capacities
 - $u \neq v$